

Responses to RFP Questions – Pavement Condition Data Update

January 22, 2019

1. I was just looking over the Pavement Condition RFQ and wanted to clarify that you are only looking for IRI and not surface ratings (SR) scores? For the 2015 study, both IRI and SR were collected.

Unlike in 2015, we now have a formal performance measure for pavement condition. MnDOT is required to report pavement condition to FHWA in IRI, and therefore we must report pavement condition to MnDOT in IRI. We have no need for SR.

2. Is there a deadline for the final report/deliverables?

The grant expires on December 31, 2019 so all work must be completed by then.

3. Is the cost for the APO's 2015 pavement study available for review? It would be helpful to see a breakdown of the 2015 study costs by task to verify that we are developing a reasonable estimate for the APO for the new 2019 work.

The final cost of the 2015 project by task is shown below:

Task Description	Total Hours	Total Cost
Project Management	23.3	\$2,955.97
Data Collection, Inventory and Assessment	157.0	\$12,978.35
Public Involvement	47.8	\$6,450.79
Needs Assessment and Analysis	54.3	\$7,138.56
Local Pavement Ratings	235.1	\$19,642.53
Created Unified Regional Pavement Management System	58.6	\$6,895.18
Prioritized Projects	45.8	\$4,564.47
Pavement Management Software Review	7.5	\$1,089.89
Prepare Final Report, Executive Summary and PPT	63.1	\$8,656.47
Direct Non-Salary Expenses		\$56,554.82
Totals	692.5	\$126,927.03

Please note that we are not currently seeking completion of all of the same tasks in 2019 as we did in 2015. For example, we are not seeking the creation of a unified regional pavement management system. In 2019, we seek the completion only of the tasks specified in the current RFP.

4. Is IRI data to be collected in both directions or a single direction?

It is fair to say that the APO wants as much data as the project budget will allow. If the budget is sufficient to provide IRI in each direction, we would be thrilled. If not, we will take what can be provided within the project budget.

5. The IRI data you are collecting, will you want the exemption areas removed from the IRI value (manholes, and intersections, etc.) so that these aren't accounted for in your IRI value?

We want to be able to submit to MnDOT a "typical" IRI report. So, we would expect you do whatever is typically done with the areas in question.